Application No. Applicant(s) 09/961 424 YAMAMOTO MITSURU Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit BRETT RUSTEMEYER 2426 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) BRETT J. RUSTEMEYER. (3)EDWARD A. KMETT (REG. # 42,746). (2) JOSEPH HIRL. (4) Date of Interview: 17 June 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 38. Identification of prior art discussed: Monslow in view of Schlarb further in view of Gutman. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. a) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed prior art of record in view of claim language and proposed amendments to the claims, which potentially overcome the prior art. However, no official agreement was reached. Upon receipt of a proper response to the instant Office action, an appropriate action will be taken by the Office accordingly. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed. APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Joseph P. Hirl/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2426